

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/838,535	04/19/2001	Byoung In Cho	20150-0520 (45882-252580)	9277
75	90 03/12/2003			
ROBERT E. RICHARDS, ESQ.			EXAMINER	
2400 Monarch			VO, HAI	
3424 Peachtree Road, N.E, Atlanta, GA 30326			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1771	7
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		O(1)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/838,535	CHO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Hai Vo	1771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspond nce address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after Stx (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutary minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire StX (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Cifice later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 F</u>	ebruary 2003 .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-62 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-62 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/838,535

Art Unit: 1771

In view of Applicant's argument, it is apparent that the restriction letter mailed on 01/02/2003 is improper. Since the alternative method suggested is impractical, a supplemental restriction letter is deemed necessary.

Supplemental Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-15, 22-27, 41-53, drawn to a method of forming a foam product, classified in class 156, subclass 242.
- Claims 16-21, 38-40, 59 and 61, drawn to a method of forming a foam product, classified in class 156, subclass 269.
- III. Claims 28-37, 60 and 62, drawn to a foam product, classified in class 428, subclass 304.4+.
- IV. Claims 54-48, drawn to a product, classified in class 525, subclass various.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination is a lamination of a substrate and a bubble pack layer that is impregnated with a polyurethane foam. The subcombination has separate utility such as a sport padding device.

Application/Control Number: 09/838,535

Art Unit: 1771

Inventions (I, II) and (III, IV) are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as one that applies a layer of bubble pack of thermoplastic polyurethane to a preform of a cured polyurethane foam so as to eliminate the curing step of the layer of uncured polyurethane foam.

Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are directly related to different products, a foam product and non-foam product.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai Vo whose telephone number is (703) 605-4426. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri, 8:30-6:00 and on alternating Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone numbers

Application/Control Number: 09/838,535 Page 4

Art Unit: 1771

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

HV March 10, 2003 TERREL MORRIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700